

**E. 7. UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-STOUT
FOCUS 2010 IMPLEMENTATION TEAM 7, OUTCOME 2**

Team Name:	e-Scholar Integration
Sponsor:	Chancellor
Charge:	Enhance the teaching environment through realignment of specific support areas.
Outcome:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Provide recommendation regarding reorganizing the following units into a single unit: Assessment and Continuous Improvement, Learning Technology Services, the proposed Teaching and Learning Center, the Nakatani Center and Research Services. 2. Provide pros/cons of reorganizing university web support into the Technology and Information Services unit. 3. Assess level of support for digital technology: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Review the needs of the laptop program b. Identify the specialized labs and the service needs of those labs c. Assess technical support: IT support; technicians in programs, departments and divisions not in IT d. Create a model: determine if there should be a model adopted based on the appropriate metrics that trigger an assessment or need for more technical support
Chairperson/Leader:	
Membership:	<p>Outcome 1 - Provost Sedlak and Assistant Chancellor Julie Furst-Bowe</p> <p>Outcome 2 - Joe Brown, Bob Sedlak, Mike Galloy, Steve Schlough, John Enger, Barb Button</p> <p>Outcome 3 - Dean Meyer, Dean Wesolek, Dean Jax, Dean Murphy, Dean Hall, CIO Joe Brown, Provost Sedlak, Vi Jones Mike Galloy, Doug Wahl, Joe Krier</p>
Consultants/ Resource People	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Chancellor's memo Technology Alignment Task Force, August 30, 2004 2. Chancellor's memo Ad Hoc Task Force Appointment, September 2, 2004
Training/Information Needed:	
Method of Communication:	
Timeline:	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. October 2004 – Group 1 2. October 2004 – Group 2 3. December 2004 – Group 3

Web Alignment Task Force Report

The Web Alignment Task Force met on September 20, 2004 to discuss the issues surrounding the reporting structure of the Web and other relevant organizational questions. The primary question was whether the web should continue to report through the Executive Director of University Relations or through the CIO.

While there were some philosophical disagreements, the group arrived at the following consensus:

- University Website management should continue to report to the Executive Director of University Relations, with responsibility for appearance, content and navigation.
- All applications, Web based or otherwise, are the responsibility of TIS and requests for assistance in these matters should be directed to TIS.

The Committee did not discuss staffing in either area.