

UW-Stout
University Priority E - technology infrastructure

| 1. University Priority |
|--|
| <p><i>“What solution are you proposing?”</i></p> <p>Leverage technology infrastructure in academic (teaching and learning) activities to enhance learning.</p> <p>Linkage to Strategic Plans <i>University of Wisconsin-Stout Strategic Plan</i></p> <p>Linkage to FOCUS 2010 goals: Goal 3: Achieve national leadership and excellence in educating students in the theory and application of a broad range of technologies.</p> <p>Linkage to Enduring Goals; Goal 1: Offer high-quality, challenging academic programs that influence and respond to a changing society. Goal 2: Preserve and enhance our educational processes through the application of active learning principles. Goal 3: Promote excellence in teaching, research, scholarship and service. Goal 7: Provide responsive, efficient, and cost-effective educational support programs and services.</p> |

| 2. Statement of the Issue: |
|--|
| <p><i>“What issue are you trying to solve?”</i></p> <p>Fully integrate and utilize Stout’s technology advantage and infrastructure.</p> |

| 3. Action Plan: | Responsible: | Timeline: |
|---|---|--|
| <i>“What has to be done for this priority to succeed?”</i> | <i>“Who are the positions or people that will be responsible for each step?”</i> | <i>MUST be specific target completion date.</i> |
| Develop a high-end technology support process to resolve technology integration issues. | CIO (LTS, TIS, TLC, academic departments) | Feb 2006 |
| Develop technology integration “best practice” event. | TLC | Spring 2006 |

8/29/2006

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|-------------|
| Conduct a needs assessment and focus future professional development activities on areas identified on the needs assessment | Provost, LTS, TLC | Spring 2006 |
| Market the Stout Technology Advantage | Exec Director, University Relations | Spring 2006 |

4. Implication for Resources:

“What is needed for this priority to succeed?”
(Human, fiscal, physical, other)

5. Key Measures of Performance:

It is the expectation that priority owners will be using/maintaining the process measures to assess action plan deployment. At the end of the fiscal year, the Strategic Planning Group will review and discuss the implementation progress and impact.

Process Measures:

Identify no more than two process measures when answering the question:

“What information will be collected to assess successful deployment of the action plan?”

-Tasks completed according to timeline

See university priorities progress updates <http://www.uwstout.edu/bpa/planning/spgretreat/2006/yrend06.pdf>

Performance Indicators:

BPA is responsible for benchmarking overall performance to answer the question:

“What information will be collected to benchmark and measure the priority’s success?”

-Overall level of morale, <http://www.uwstout.edu/bpa/ir/performance/morale.doc>

-e-Scholar learning measure, <http://www.uwstout.edu/bpa/ir/performance/escholar.pdf>